

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2008
SIX MONTH UPDATE (OCTOBER 2007- MARCH 2008)

Data Prepared by
Performance Excellence Administration
Data Analysis and Information Management
Michigan Department of Human Services

June 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM.....	4
TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS.....	4
TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE	5
PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS	6
TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY	6
TABLE 4 GENDER.....	7
TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	8
TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER.....	9
TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS.....	10
PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION.....	11
TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS	11
TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE.....	11
TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	12
TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	13
PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS.....	14
TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE	16
TABLE 10 EMPLOYMENT STATUS.....	17
PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	18
TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	19
TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 07-08 (i.e., October 2007 through March 2008) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 419 new participants entered the program, with 20.5% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS offices.
- The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:
 - 55.6% African American
 - 33.2% White
 - 7.2% Hispanic
 - 3.6% Other (e.g., multi-racial)
 - 0.5% Native American
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 9.3% of the recently enrolled participants.
- The average age, at program entry (i.e., intake), of this group of participants was 17.91 years.
- 97.4% of the participants were single.
- 44.4% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 95.2% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- 64.1% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 89.3% of them parenting one child, 9.2% parenting two children, 0.8% parenting three children, and one individual (0.4%) parenting four children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training/classes and school simultaneously),
 - 57.3% of the participants were enrolled in school.
 - 7.6% of the participants were enrolled in GED training/classes.
 - 2.4% of the participants were GED holders.
 - 12.9% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 8.9% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 20.5 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$7.33.
- 24.3% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2008

Six Month Update

October 2007 - March 2008

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 07-08. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2008, namely, October 2007 through March 2008.

The program currently operates via contract with twenty-three sites (23) in twenty (20) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Macomb, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Ottawa, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites¹.

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between October 1, 2007, and March 31, 2008. At the start of the fiscal year, there were 921 ongoing cases. During this six-month period, 419 new participants entered the program, while 556 cases were closed.

Table 1
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY08 YTD ²	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
INTAKES: Number of Clients Entering the Program During the Month	89	48	54	77	56	95	419	419	916
CLOSURES: Number of Cases Reported Closed During the Month	114	53	56	59	202	72	556	556	906

¹ One of the Wayne County sites ceased operations on February 29, 2008, thus leaving three TPP providers in that county for the remainder of the fiscal year. All 149 of that agency's cases were closed at the end of February.

² In addition to these new cases, there were 921 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2007, and remained open as of the start of FY07-08). Source: TPP semi-annual monitoring reports, October 2007.

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 20.5% (86) of the referrals during this six month period were from DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 41.1% (172) of the referrals. Meanwhile, community agencies (58) accounted for 13.8% of the referrals, followed by schools (n=38; 9.1%), community health (n=31; 7.4%), and health care providers (n=28; 6.7%). Mental health accounted for 1.4% (6) of the referrals.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
DHS	15	5	10	10	11	35	86 (20.5%)	86 (20.5%)	134 (14.6%)
Health Care Provider	4	3	2	6	8	5	28 (6.7%)	28 (6.7%)	95 (10.4%)
Community Health	6	1	6	4	7	7	31 (7.4%)	31 (7.4%)	80 (8.7%)
Community Agency	14	9	8	11	7	9	58 (13.8%)	58 (13.8%)	120 (13.1%)
Mental Health	1	2	1	1	1	0	6 (1.4%)	6 (1.4%)	9 (1.0%)
School	4	9	2	6	6	11	38 (9.1%)	38 (9.1%)	79 (8.6%)
Other ³	45	19	25	39	16	28	172 (41.1%)	172 (41.1%)	399 (43.6%)
TOTALS	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	916 (100.0%)

³ "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner/girlfriend, another program participant, was a former program participant, TPP agency, transferred from another TPP agency/program, court system (e.g., juvenile court, circuit court, probation/parole officer, Friend of the Court), emergency shelter, yellow pages, brochure/flyer/booklet, etc.

PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during these six months. Accordingly, 55.6% (233) of the individuals were African American, 33.2% (139) were white, 7.2% (30) were Hispanic, and 0.5% (2) was Native American. The “other” responses served to identify fifteen individuals (3.6%) as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
White	30	21	21	17	21	29	139 (33.2%)	139 (33.2%)	273 (29.9%)
African American	55	22	26	51	28	51	233 (55.6%)	233 (55.6%)	545 (59.7%)
Native American	0	1	1	0	0	0	2 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	4 (0.4%)
Hispanic	3	3	2	6	4	12	30 (7.2%)	30 (7.2%)	52 (5.7%)
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0.2%)
Other	1	1	4	3	3	3	15 (3.6%)	15 (3.6%)	37 (4.1%)
TOTALS	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%) ⁴	419 (100.0%)	913 (100.0%)
Missing ⁵	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

⁴ In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

⁵ Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during these six months. Accordingly, 90.7% (380) of the individuals were female, and 9.3% (39) were male.

Table 4
GENDER

	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
GENDER	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Female	79	43	48	72	50	88	380 (90.7%)	380 (90.7%)	847 (92.5%)
Male	10	5	6	5	6	7	39 (9.3%)	39 (9.3%)	69 (7.5%)
TOTALS	89	78	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	916 (100.0%)

Table 5 displays the age distribution, at intake, of participants entering the program during these six months, with the overall average age being 17.91 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS (age at intake)	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0.2%)
Thirteen	1	0	1	1	0	0	3 (0.7%)	3 (0.7%)	2 (0.2%)
Fourteen	3	2	1	2	0	4	12 (2.9%)	12 (2.9%)	20 (2.2%)
Fifteen	7	4	3	4	4	5	27 (6.6%)	27 (6.6%)	55 (6.1%)
Sixteen	12	3	8	12	6	14	55 (13.4%)	55 (13.4%)	175 (19.4%)
Seventeen	20	13	15	12	18	34	112 (27.4%)	112 (27.4%)	203 (22.5%)
Eighteen	14	11	17	17	14	26	99 (24.2%)	99 (24.2%)	203 (22.5%)
Nineteen	18	7	4	21	9	7	66 (16.1%)	66 (16.1%)	162 (17.9%)
Twenty	9	7	4	7	4	4	35 (8.6%)	35 (8.6%)	82 (9.1%)
TOTALS	84	47	53	76	55	94	409 (100.0%)	409 (100.0%)	904 (100.0%)
Missing	5	1	1	1	1	1	10	10	12

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.89 years old, and the average male participant was 18.11 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁶

AGE BY GENDER	FIRST SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 08				FY08 % YTD (N)	FY07 % Total (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	94.8	89.3	89.5	90.7 (371)	90.7 (371)	92.7 (838)
Male	5.2	10.7	10.5	9.3 (38)	9.3 (38)	7.3 (66)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (97)	100.0 (112)	100.0 (200)	100.0 (409)	100.0 (409)	100.0 (904)

⁶For the first six months of FY08, there were ten cases for which information about age was missing. Meanwhile, for FY07, there were twelve cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 97.4% (408) were single and 2.1% (9) were married. Of the nine individuals who were married, seven were white and two were African American. In terms of age, two were sixteen years old or younger, and seven were eighteen years old or older. With respect to gender, eight of the married participants were female and one was male. Note: the two individuals whose marital status was described as “other” were “separated” from their spouse.

Table 7
MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Single	87	44	53	75	56	93	408 (97.4%)	408 (97.4%)	879 (96.3%)
Married	2	4	1	2	0	0	9 (2.1%)	9 (2.1%)	28 (3.1%)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	2	2 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	6 (0.7%)
TOTALS	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	913 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 37.5% (157) were pregnant, 55.6% (233) were parenting, and 6.9% (29) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Pregnant	29	17	21	28	20	42	157 (37.5%)	157 (37.5%)	366 (40.0%)
Parenting	50	27	32	45	33	46	233 (55.6%)	233 (55.6%)	483 (52.8%)
Pregnant and Parenting	10	4	1	4	3	7	29 (6.9%)	29 (6.9%)	66 (7.2%)
TOTALS	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	915 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 95.2% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	39	19	22	27	23	47	177 (95.2%)	177 (95.2%)	403 (93.3%)
No	0	2	0	5	0	2	9 (4.8%)	9 (4.8%)	29 (6.7%)
TOTALS	39	21	22	32	23	49	186 (100.0%)	186 (100.0%)	432 (100.0%)

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 83.2% (242) were one year or younger, 9.3% (27) were two years old, 5.5% (16) were three years old, 1.7% (5) was four years old, and 0.3% (1) was five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 90.5% (210) of those parenting had one child, 8.6% (20) had two children, and 0.9% (2) had three children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	44	23	27	39	31	46	210 (90.5%)	210 (90.5%)	417 (86.9%)
Two	6	3	5	4	2	0	20 (8.6%)	20 (8.6%)	47 (9.8%)
Three	0	1	0	1	0	0	2 (0.9%)	2 (0.9%)	14 (2.9%)
Five	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0.4%)
TOTALS	50	27	32	44	33	46	232 (100.0%)	232 (100.0%)	480 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 82.8% (24) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, and 13.8% (4) had two children, and one (3.4%) had four children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	8	2	1	4	3	6	24 (82.8%)	24 (82.8%)	58 (87.9%)
Two	2	1	0	0	0	1	4 (13.8%)	4 (13.8%)	8 (12.1%)
Four	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (3.4%)	1 (3.4%)	0
TOTALS	10	4	1	4	3	7	29 (100.0%)	29 (100.0%)	66 (100.0%)

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.2.

A. School

The 240 individuals (57.3%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixteen individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training/classes.
- Twelve individuals had a high school diploma.
- Nineteen teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.0.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.32 years, with 36.4% being sixteen years old or younger, 33.3% being seventeen years old, and 30.3% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 90.8% (218) of those enrolled were females, representing 57.4% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 9.2% (22) of those enrolled were males, representing 56.4% of males in the program.

The 179 individuals (43.7%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Forty-two teens had a high school diploma.
- Eight participants had a GED certificate.
- Sixteen individuals were in GED training/classes.
- Eighteen teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.5.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.70 years, with 7.4% being sixteen years old or younger, 19.3% being seventeen years old, and 73.3% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 90.5% (162) of those not enrolled were females, representing 42.6% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 9.5% (17) of those not enrolled were males, representing 43.6% of males in the program.

B. GED Training/Classes

Of the thirty-two individuals (7.6%) in GED training/classes, sixteen were also in school and three were working. In terms of age, 6.5% were sixteen years old, 32.2% were seventeen years old, and 61.3% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Ten individuals (2.4%) were identified as having a GED certificate. Of these ten individuals, two were continuing their education, one also had a diploma, and one was working.

D. High School Diploma

The fifty-four individuals (12.9%) who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Twelve teens were continuing their education.
- One had a GED certificate.
- Eight teens were working.

The 365 individuals (87.1%) who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 228 teens were enrolled in school.
- Thirty-two teens were in GED training/classes (including sixteen who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Nine teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Twenty-nine individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 102 individuals, or 24.3% of those who entered the program during these six months, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training/classes, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed at the time they entered the program. In terms of age, 11.8% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 23.5% were seventeen years old, and 64.7% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
A. Was the participant in school at intake?									
Yes	47	28	30	40	36	59	240 (57.3%)	240 (57.3%)	450 (49.2%)
No	42	20	24	37	20	36	179 (42.7%)	179 (42.7%)	464 (50.8%)
TOTALS (Missing)	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	914 (2) (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training/classes?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	08 YTD	07 Total
Yes	9	3	9	3	5	3	32 (7.6%)	32 (7.6%)	52 (5.7%)
No	80	45	45	74	51	92	387 (92.4%)	387 (92.4%)	862 (94.3%)
TOTALS (Missing)	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	914 (2) (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	08 YTD	07 Total
Yes	4	0	1	3	1	1	10 (2.4%)	10 (2.4%)	23 (2.5%)
No	85	48	53	74	55	94	409 (97.6%)	409 (97.6%)	890 (97.5%)
TOTALS (Missing)	89	48	54	77	56	86	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	913 (3) (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	08 YTD	07 Total
Yes	10	6	3	14	10	11	54 (12.9%)	54 (12.9%)	129 (14.1%)
No	79	42	51	63	46	84	365 (87.1%)	365 (87.1%)	784 (85.9%)
TOTALS (Missing)	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	913 (3) (100.0%)

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 8.9% (37) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 91.1% (378) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	9	3	4	7	4	10	37 (8.9%)	37 (8.9%)	108 (11.9%)
No	79	44	49	70	51	85	378 (91.1%)	378 (91.1%)	803 (88.1%)
TOTALS	88	47	53	77	55	95	415 (100.0%)	415 (100.0%)	911 (100.0%)
Missing	1	1	1	0	1	0	4	4	5

For the thirty-seven teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 20.5 and the average hourly wage was \$7.33. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.55 years. Furthermore,

- Thirty (81.1%) of those employed were females, representing 7.9% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, seven (18.9%) of those employed were male, representing 17.9% of the males entering the program.
- Eight individuals had a high school diploma (two of who were also continuing their education).
- One had a GED certificate (and was also continuing their education).
- Three were in GED training (one of whom was also in school).
- Nineteen individuals were enrolled in school (including two who had a diploma, one who had a GED certificate, and one who was also in GED training).
- Ten teens were working, but were not in school or GED training/classes, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 378 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 220 were enrolled in school (including fifteen who were also in GED training/classes, and ten who had a high school diploma).
- Twenty-nine teens were in GED training/classes (fifteen of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Forty-five individuals had a high school diploma (ten of who were also continuing their education and one who also had a GED certificate).
- Nine teens had a GED certificate (one of who also had a high school diploma)

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 49.9% of the individuals, who entered the program during these six months, resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 13.6% living with other relative(s), and 10.5% living independently. The remaining 26.0% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 72.2% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 50.9% of those aged seventeen and 38.0% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents at intake.

- All totaled, 92.8% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 74.1% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living with friend's parents, living with spouse and father, client is living with partner in client's relative's home, living with godmother, with spouse in his parent's home, renting a room, renting an apartment in landlord's home, client is transient, living in transitional living program, and Lighthouse Path Program, etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY08 YTD	FY07 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
w/Parents	44	30	27	34	25	49	209 (49.9%)	209 (49.9%)	478 (52.3%)
w/Guardian	3	2	2	3	2	6	87 (4.3%)	87 (4.3%)	26 (2.8%)
w/Other relative	14	2	6	11	10	14	57 (13.6%)	57 (13.6%)	110 (12.0%)
w/Partner	7	2	2	6	2	2	21 (5.0%)	21 (5.0%)	50 (5.5%)
w/Spouse	1	3	0	0	0	0	4 (1.0%)	4 (1.0%)	14 (1.5%)
Formal placement	2	1	4	5	2	1	15 (3.6%)	15 (3.6%)	15 (1.6%)
Independently	10	4	6	8	6	10	44 (10.5%)	44 (10.5%)	75 (8.2%)
Homeless	2	0	2	4	0	4	12 (2.9%)	12 (2.9%)	41 (4.5%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	3	3	3	2	7	5	23 (5.5%)	23 (5.5%)	59 (6.5%)
Other	3	1	2	4	2	4	16 (3.8%)	16 (3.8%)	46 (5.0%)
TOTALS	89	48	54	77	56	95	419 (100.0%)	419 (100.0%)	914 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁷

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	OCTOBER 2007 – MARCH 2008				FY08 YTD % (N)	FY07 TOTAL % (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)		
w/Parents	72.2	50.9	38.0	49.6 (203)	49.6 (203)	52.2 (471)
w/Guardian	8.2	7.1	0.5	4.2 (17)	4.2 (17)	2.9 (26)
w/Other relative	9.3	12.5	15.5	13.2 (54)	13.2 (54)	12.2 (110)
w/Partner	1.0	3.6	8.0	5.1 (21)	5.1 (21)	5.4 (49)
w/Spouse	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0 (4)	1.0 (4)	1.6 (14)
Formal placement	3.1	3.6	4.0	3.7 (15)	3.7 (15)	1.7 (15)
Independently	1.0	4.5	19.0	10.8 (44)	10.8 (44)	8.2 (74)
Homeless	1.0	0.9	5.0	2.9 (12)	2.9 (12)	4.5 (41)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	2.1	10.7	4.5	5.6 (23)	5.6 (23)	6.3 (57)
Other	2.1	6.3	3.5	3.9 (16)	3.9 (16)	5.1 (46)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (97)	100.0 (112)	100.0 (200)	100.0 (409)	100.0 (409)	100.0 (903)

⁷ For the first six months of FY08, there were ten individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown. NOTE: For FY07, there were thirteen individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.